



## UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/545,139	04/07/00	D AMATO		F	05213-0650	
- 023594 KILPATRIČK STOCKTON LLF			$\neg$		EXAMINER	
		HM12/0511 >		GOLDBE	RG.J	
2400 MONARC	H TOWER		-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3424 PEACHTR ATLANTA GA 3		IE.		16.14	Į	
		~		DATE MAILED:	05/11/01	

Please find b proceeding. Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/545,139

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Jerome D. Goldberg

Art Unit

1614

D'Amato



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
af	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a) In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will			
be	considered timely.				
cc	ommunication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this			
- Any	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the Irned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 24, 2	2001			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL. 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> . 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims	•			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-22	is/are pending in the application.			
ľ	fa) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s)				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>	is/are rejected.			
<u> </u>	Claim(s)				
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
isa	ition Papers	•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
2	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	e objected to by the Examiner.			
	The proposed drawing correction filed on				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No			
	application from the International Bure				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14}	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachm	nent(s)				
15) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
16) 🔲 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) 🔲 Ir	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20} Other			

Application/Control Number: 09/545,139

Art Unit: 1614

1 %

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24, 26-58, 63-69 and 76-87 of copending Application No. 09/788,872. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims and the copending application claim are directed to the same disease condition.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner J. D. Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) -308-4606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Cintins, can be reached on (703) -308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -308-4556 or 305-3592.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,139

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-1235.

Goldberg/LR

May 4, 2001

JEROME D. GOLDBERG PRIMALLY EXAMINER